

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 10/643,055 | 08/18/2003 | Thomas K. Reusche | 14809US02 | 3111 | | |
| 23446 7 | 590 08/10/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| | VS HELD & MALLOY, | NGUYEN, | NGUYEN, TRINH T | | | |
| SUITE 3400 | ADISON STREET | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| CHICAGO, II | CHICAGO, IL 60661 | | | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/10/2003 | DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/643,055 | REUSCHE ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Trinh T. Nguyen | 3644 | |

| | Trinh T. Nguyen | 3644 | |
|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 29 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion of the periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | of Appeal. To avoid at fifidavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE Fig. | f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE | D WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | nd the corresponding amount of the fee. stutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expression of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal o | of the appeal. |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NC | | because |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | ter form for appeal by materially re | | the issues for |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | gected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | 21. See attached Notice of Non-C | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate | · | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | ill be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d) | ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | · | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu it is noted that the arguments are not deemed to overco | me the rejection of record and the | <u>refore, the final reject</u> | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | |
| | | Trinh T Nguyen | |
| | | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3644 | |